

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.usplu.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/324,741	06/03/1999	ROBERT S. DELAND	9127-1E006US	1968
23363	7590 06/19/2003			
CIIRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			EXAMINER	
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500)	TREMBLAY, MARK STEPHEN	
PASADENA,	CA 91105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

DELAND, ROBERT S.		
nit		
_		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 4/9/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may red earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e under
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify issues for appeal; and/or	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	dment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because:	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	y
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 23.	
Claim(s) objected to: 7 and 19-22.	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 8-18.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other: MARK TREMBLAY	

*Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/324,741

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The extensive amendments presented by Applcant raises the issue of the combination of the range data and characteristic data as the defining patentable feature of the invention. The claim language and arguments had not been properly explored during normal prosecution for the examiner to have properly and fully consider them.